

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌					
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL	LAINT NO:		
	RS ID#: 0110011 DA 7		ARRIVE: <u>1030</u>		DEPART: <u>230</u>	
FA	CILITY NAME: CE	MEX-S FT LAUDERDALE	E READY-MIX			
FA	CILITY LOCATION	29 SW 33RD ST				
		FORT LAUDERDA	ALE 33315-3300			
CC	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: Jeffreyr.porter@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: JAMES PATCHIN* Email: jpatchin@cemexusa.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/9/2012 / 8/9/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (954)523-6582 Mobile: (561)801-0684					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
1.	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	<u>.</u>		(check ☑ box for each	only one n question)
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	esentative still JEFFREY Po	ORTER*?		Xes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrati till JAMES PATCHIN*?				□No □No
4.		ting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at lea				⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Silo Compartment No. 1 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
# 1.	IN THE REVIEW PRIOR TO PROPERTY.	
1	Date of last inspection: 10/24/11	
	Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	□ No
ے.	If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	□ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\] N/A \[\] Yes	□ No
		L NO
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
T T		
	aconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Co	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
1.	emissions by:	
	Chilosophis by.	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \(\sime\) Yes	□ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
	control emissions? Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
	particulate matter? Yes	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	110
	particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No
	particulate matter from stock piles:	110
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	□ No
	Tes	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	⊠ No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	☐ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	_

Emissions Unit Section 2 -Cement Silo Compartment No. 2 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
TIME IN THE REVIEW FROM TO END ECTION	ļ
	ļ
1. Date of last inspection: 10/24/2011	ļ
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	
If not: a. Did the hispector perform a general vizitest (20% opacity)? \[\] 1 es	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \ N/A \ Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	ļ
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	ļ
emissions by:	
	ļ
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	∐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	—
control emissions? X Yes	∐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	ļ
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Flyash/slag silo Compartment No. 1 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 10/24/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DANGER WAY DONGS DAY AND DAY OF A CO		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	N Ves	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	<u> 103</u>	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- <u>res</u>	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –Flyash/slag silo Compartment No. 2 with Dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 10/24/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	∐ No
particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 - Weigh Hopper & Concrete Mixer Loadout subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. 2.	Date of last inspection: 10/24/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Un</u>	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Co	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	ollowing:	
	 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
	particulate matter?	- Xes	☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one	
		box for each		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- X Yes - Yes - Yes	 No No No No No No No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00? 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - 🛭 Yes	☐ No	
			-	
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	∇ v _{as}	\square No	
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		∐ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	-	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?		☐ No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	ess days following a relocation? tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	-	□ No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			∐ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose?				
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No □ No	
CHANGES				
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ☑ box for each		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:				
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst d. A change in ownership?	antially different?		NoNoNoNoNo	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub		☐ No	
	on form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	□ No	
30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	□ No	
20 days prior to the change? C.Pitters	8/21/2012	mitted	□ No	
20 days prior to the change? C.Pitters	8/21/2012 Date of Inspection	mitted - Yes	□ No	